By: Planning

Public Hearing: 09/11/18

Adopted: 09/11/18

WASILLA PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION SERIAL NO. 18-14(AM)

A RESOLUTION OF THE WASILLA PLANNING COMMISSION DENYING DESIGN EXCEPTION #4 TO ALLOW A FREESTANDING SIGN THAT IS 4.3 SQUARE FEET IN SIGN AREA HANGING FROM A 6.17' HIGH POST. THE SIGN WILL BE LOCATED ON LOT 2A, BLOCK 7, WASILLA TOWNSITE RSB SUBDIVISION.

WHEREAS, Anthony Dellapietro, Hempire Co., submitted an application for a design exception to the sign standards in the Downtown Overlay District Design Standards (DE 18-01) on July 3, 2018; and

WHEREAS, the application included the required drawings and narrative that addresses the general approval criteria in §16.16.050 of the Wasilla Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, notice of the application was mailed to all property owners within a 1,200 feet radius, the appropriate review agencies, the Wasilla Planning Commission, and the Wasilla City Council as required by §16.16.040(A)(2) of the Wasilla Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, a notice of the Planning Commission public hearing was published in the Frontiersman on September 2, 2018; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on this request on September 11, 2018; and

WHEREAS, the Wasilla Planning Commission deliberated on this request taking into account the information submitted by the applicants, evaluation and recommendations of staff contained in the staff report, public testimony - both written and verbal comments, the applicable provisions of the Downtown Overlay District

Design Standards, Wasilla Municipal Code, Comprehensive Plan, and other pertinent

information brought before them; and

WHEREAS, the Wasilla Planning Commission adopted Findings of Fact,

attached as Exhibit A, summarizing basic facts and reasoning of the Commission for

denying the design exception requests; and

WHEREAS, the current freestanding sign may remain in place until new wall

signs are installed on the property or until December 31, 2018, whichever comes first;

and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Wasilla Planning Commission

hereby denies this design exception request with the Findings of Fact, attached as

Exhibit A and incorporated herein.

ADOPTED by the Wasilla Planning Commission on September 11, 2018.

APPROVED:

Brian Mayer, Vice-Chair

Date

ATTEST:

Tina Crawford

ICP, City Planner

VOTE:

Passed Unanimously

## **EXHIBIT A**

## Wasilla Planning Commission Resolution 18-14(AM) FINDINGS OF FACT Section 16.20.040(L), Design Exception

## 16.24.040(L) - Design Exceptions

The planning commission may grant design exceptions if a proposed project is a unique and exceptional design concept that enhances the downtown overlay district, or if by reason of unusual circumstances, the strict application of any provision of this section would result in exceptional practical difficulty or undue hardship due to the circumstances unique to the particular property in question as provided in this subsection. The planning commission may impose additional conditions to ensure that the design is consistent with the purpose and intent of this section.

(1) Application. After the pre-application conference, the applicant shall submit an application for the design exception to the city planner with the appropriate application fee. The site plan for the application shall depict all information relevant to the requested waiver or modification.

Finding: The applicant submitted the required application and fee.

(2) Public hearing. The planning commission shall hold a public hearing on the application. The notice, comment period, and hearing procedure shall be the same as provided in § 16.16.040 for a conditional use.

Finding: All notification requirements above have been met.

- (3) Consideration. In evaluating an application, the planning commission may consider any of the following alternatives to offset a design deficiency, if they find that the proposed alternative will serve the purpose of this section:
  - (a) Fences and walls may be used in lieu of landscaping and may be allowed to screen parking when there is not enough room to provide an effective landscape screen.
  - (b) Additional enhanced architectural details, consisting of period and style appropriate appointments and materials not typically used due to high cost.
  - (c) More and higher quality architecturally appropriate windows.
  - (d) Quality public seating meeting the standards in this section, such as benches in front of shops and businesses.
  - (e) Installation of quality decorative pavement/paver designs, especially in areas highly visible to the public such as driveway aprons and building entrances along main streets.
  - (f) Additional or alternative storm water design solutions such as bio swales, rain gardens and cisterns.

(g) Use of a new, innovative or non-traditional energy efficient building technology, such as solar panels and insulated prefabricated wall panels.

Finding: The above alternatives are not applicable to this request.

- (4) Decision. The planning commission may approve an application only if they find that the application meets one or more of the following standards:
  - (a) The waiver or modification is consistent with the purpose of this section and will not materially adversely affect the surrounding area or the downtown overlay district as a whole;

Finding: The waiver is not consistent with the purpose of this section since freestanding signs are specifically prohibited in the Downtown Overlay excepts for lots with frontage on the Parks Highway. The request is to allow a small freestanding sign to remain on the east side of the building adjacent to Main Street. The sign was in place prior to the adoption of the Overlay and was "grandfathered". However, a previous tenant made changes to the sign that eliminated the grandfathering, which requires that the freestanding sign be removed.

(b) The proposed project is a unique and exceptional design concept that enhances the downtown overlay district; and

Finding: The proposed signage is not unique or exceptional in design in such a way that it enhances the downtown overlay district.

(c) Strict application would result in exceptional practical difficulty or undue hardship due to the circumstances unique to the particular property in question. A hardship shall not qualify as an undue hardship if it is of a person's own making.

Finding: The strict application would not result in exceptional practical difficulty or undue hardship since the code currently allows one wall sign on the wall facing Main Street or a wall sign on the north and south sides of the building without approval of a sign exception.